

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,938	11/13/2003	Darshan Timbadia	122467.00701	9539	
7590 03/30/2007 Pepper Hamilton LLP Firm 21269			EXAMINER		
			CRABTREE, JOSHUA DAVID		
One Mellon Ce 500 Grant Stree		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Pittsburgh, PA		3714			
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8	7
	₩-

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/712,938	TIMBADIA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joshua D. Crabtree	3714		

Advisory Action	10/712,938	TIMBADIA ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joshua D. Crabtree	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 21 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP		*				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphane / who hamone	(1 102 024).			
_ :: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13, 17-21</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		6/1			
		JDC Joe H	I. Cheng Examiner			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has argued that Pfenninger does not show the feature of transmitting the time elapsed from the start of the examination, identification of test items displayed to the user, and user interactions with the testing stations at substantially the same time that the time elapsed, test items, and user interactions are recorded. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As stated in the previous rejection, a test administrator may view information about tests currently in progress (Paragraph [0008, 0010]). Therefore, state information from a student workstation is transmitted substantially at the same time that it is recorded. Additionally, as previously stated, Pfenninger discloses that a test taker's answers may be "quickly" provided to the system and scored. "Quickly" is analogous to "substantially at the same time", as previously described.

Applicant has argued that Pfenninger does not disclose the feature wherein the administrator may view state information comprising time elapsed from the start of the examination, identification of test items displayed to the user, and user interactions with the testing stations. However, this feature does not appear in the claims, therefore the argument is moot.